



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/122,349	07/24/1998	LANCE HACKING	042390.P5965	4301
7590 08/04/2004			EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			TRAN, DENISE	
7TH FLOOR	RE BOOLEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES	S, CA 90025		2186	

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



Advisory Action		Application No. Applicant(s)		
		09/122,349	HACKING ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Denise Tran	2186	
The	MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress
final rejection u condition for al	FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP ner action by the applicant is required to under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: lowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetice) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of thi (1) a timely filed amendm	s application. A proper reent which places the app	lication in
	PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
b) The per event, h ONLY 0 706.07( Extensions of have been filed is th 37 CFR 1.17(a) is of (b) above, if checken	riod for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Action for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Action wever, will the statutory period for reply expire later to CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS f).  Itime may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The direct date for purposes of determining the period of extendatual expiration date of the shortened. Any reply received by the Office later than three madjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Avisory Action, or (2) the date set han SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH ate on which the petition under 3 insion and the corresponding amond statutory period for reply original.	ng date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. 7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropria ount of the fee. The appropriate e ally set in the final Office action; o	See MPEP ate extension fee extension fee under or (2) as set forth in
	of Appeal was filed on Appellant 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 Cl		•	
2.☐ The prop	oosed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) 🔲 they	raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below)	,
(b) ☐ they	raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
	are not deemed to place the application es for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) 🗌 the	y present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected cla	ims.
NO	TE:			
3. Applicar	it's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
	roposed or amended claim(s) would ge the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely fil	ed amendment
	] affidavit, b) $\square$ exhibit, or c) $\square$ request find in condition for allowance because: $\underline{S}$		en considered but does N	IOT place the
	lavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be yether that the transfer of the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which w	ere newly
	oses of Appeal, the proposed amendmention of how the new or amended claims v			d and an
The state	us of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	<b>S</b> :		
Claim(s	) allowed: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s	) objected to: <u>none</u> .	Reor		
-	) rejected: <u>1,2,4-12 and 38-64</u> .	DESI AVAI	LABLE COPY	
Claim(s	) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .		THE COPY	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Denseper 7/30/04

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: prior art still render the claims unpatentable and the final rejection is demmed to be proper with respect to applicant's amendment filed 7/2/04.

Denispan 1/30/04

BEST AVAILABLE COPY